arXiv:1512.06438v2 [math.MG] 9 Aug 2016

Distortion of embeddings of binary trees into diamond graphs

Siu Lam Leung, Sarah Nelson, Sofiya Ostrovska, and Mikhail Ostrovskii

August 10, 2016

Abstract

Diamond graphs and binary trees are important examples in the theory of metric embeddings and also in the theory of metric characterizations of Banach spaces. Some results for these families of graphs are parallel to each other, for example superreflexivity of Banach spaces can be characterized both in terms of binary trees (Bourgain, 1986) and diamond graphs (Johnson-Schechtman, 2009). In this connection, it is natural to ask whether one of these families admits uniformly bilipschitz embeddings into the other. This question was answered in the negative by Ostrovskii (2014), who left it open to determine the order of growth of the distortions. The main purpose of this paper is to get a sharp-up-to-a-logarithmic-factor estimate for the distortions of embeddings of binary trees into diamond graphs, and, more generally, into diamond graphs of any finite branching $k \geq 2$. Estimates for distortions of embeddings of diamonds into infinitely branching diamonds are also obtained.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46B85; Secondary: 05C12, 30L05.

Keywords. binary tree, diamond graph, distortion of a bilipschitz embedding, Lipschitz map

1 Introduction

Binary trees and diamond graphs play an important role in the theory of metric embeddings and metric characterizations of properties of Banach spaces, see [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 18] and also presentations in the books [12, 16].

Some results for these families of graphs are parallel to each other, for example superreflexivity of Banach spaces can be characterized both in terms of binary trees (Bourgain [3]) and diamond graphs (Johnson-Schechtman [6]). In this connection, it is natural to ask whether these families of graphs admit bilipschitz embeddings with uniformly bounded distortions one into another. In one direction the answer is clear: The fact that diamond graphs do not admit uniformly bilipschitz embeddings into binary trees follows immediately from the combination of the result of Rabinovich and Raz [17, Corollary 5.3] stating that the distortion of any embedding of an n-cycle into any tree is $\geq \frac{n}{3} - 1$, and the observation that large diamond graphs contain large cycles isometrically. As for the opposite direction, it was proved in [13] that binary trees do no admit uniformly bilipschitz embeddings into diamond graphs. The goal of this paper is to get a sharp-up-to-a-logarithmic-factor estimate for the distortions of embeddings of binary trees into diamond graphs, and, more generally, into diamond graphs of any finite branching $k \geq 2$. In addition, estimates for distortions of embeddings of diamonds into infinitely branching diamonds are obtained.



Figure 1: The binary tree of depth 3, that is, T_3.

2 Definitions and the main result

To begin with, let us present the necessary definitions.

Definition 2.1. A *binary tree of depth* n, denoted T_n, is a finite graph in which each vertex is represented by a finite (possibly empty) sequence of 0's and 1's, of length at most n. Two vertices in T_n are adjacent if the sequence representing one of them is obtained from the sequence representing the other by adding one term on the right. (For example, vertices corresponding to $(1, 1, 1, 0)$ and $(1, 1, 1, 0, 1)$ are adjacent.) Vertices which correspond to sequences of length k are called vertices of k-th *generation*. The vertex corresponding to the empty sequence is called a *root*. If a sequence τ is an initial segment of the sequence σ we say that σ is a *descendant* of τ and that τ is an *ancestor* of σ. See Figure 1 for a sketch of T_3.

Definition 2.2 ([5])**.** Diamond graphs $\{D_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are defined inductively as follows: The *diamond graph* of level 0 is denoted by D_0. It has two vertices joined by an edge. The *diamond graph* D_n is obtained from D_{n-1} as follows. Given an edge $uv \in E(D_{n-1})$, it is replaced by a quadrilateral u, a, v, b, with edges ua, av, vb, bu. See Figure 2 for a sketch of D_2.

All graphs considered in this paper are endowed with the shortest path distance: the distance between any two vertices is the number of edges in a shortest path between them.

Definition 2.3. Let M be a finite metric space and $\{R_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequences of finite metric spaces with increasing cardinalities. The *distortion* $c_R(M)$ of embeddings of M into $\{R_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is defined as the infimum of $C \geq 1$ for which there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a map $f : M \to R_n$, and a number $r = r(f) > 0$ - called *scaling factor* - satisfying the condition:

$$\forall u, v \in M \quad rd_M(u, v) \leq d_{R_n}(f(u), f(v)) \leq rCd_M(u, v). \tag{1}$$

Therefore, $c_D(T_n)$ is the infimum of distortions of embeddings of the binary tree T_n into diamond graphs. Our main result is expressed by the following assertion:



Figure 2: Diamond D_2 in which generations of vertices are shown.

Theorem 2.4. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$c\,\frac{n}{\log_2 n} \le c_D(T_n) \le 2n.$$

In recent years [1, 9, 14, 15] we see an increasing interest in diamonds of high branching (see Definition 2.5). In view of this, we prove versions of Theorem 2.4 for such graphs (Theorems 2.6 and 2.7).

Definition 2.5. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $k \ge 2$. Let $D_{0,k}$ be a graph consisting of two vertices joined by one edge. The graph $D_{n+1,k}$ is obtained from $D_{n,k}$ if we replace each edge uv in $D_{n,k}$ by a set of k paths of length 2 joining u and v. We call the graphs $D_{n,k}$ *diamonds of branching* k if k is finite and *diamonds of infinite branching* if $k = \infty$.

Call one of the vertices of $D_{0,k}$ the *top* and the other the *bottom*. Define the *top* and the *bottom* of $D_{n,k}$ as vertices which evolved from the top and the bottom of $D_{0,k}$, respectively. A *subdiamond* of $D_{n,k}$ is a subgraph which evolved from an edge of some $D_{m,k}$ for $0 \le m \le n$. The *top* and *bottom* of a subdiamond of $D_{n,k}$ are defined as the vertices of the subdiamond which are the closest to the top and bottom of $D_{n,k}$, respectively.

It is can be noticed that $D_n = D_{n,2}$. Let $c_{(D,k)}(M)$ denote the distortion of embeddings of a finite metric space M into $\{D_{n,k}\}$, as in Definition 2.3. The following generalization of Theorem 2.4 holds:

Theorem 2.6. *If k is finite, then there exists $c(k) > 0$ such that*

$$c(k)\frac{n}{\log_2 n} \leq c_{(D,k)}(T_n) \leq 2n.$$

For infinitely branching diamonds, the following weaker version of Theorem 2.4 is valid:

Theorem 2.7. *There exists constant $c(\infty) > 0$ such that*

$$c(\infty)\sqrt{n} \leq c_{(D,\infty)}(T_n) \leq 2n.$$

We refer to [2] for graph-theoretical terminology and to [12] for terminology of the theory of metric embeddings.

3 Estimates from above

Since D_n is isometric to a subset of $D_{n,k}$ whenever $k \geq 2$, it suffices to prove the estimate from above for the usual diamonds $\{D_n\}$.

Proof of $c_D(T_n) \leq 2n$. Observe that the diamond D_k contains isometrically the tree which is customarily denoted $K_{1,2^k}$. This tree has $2^k + 1$ vertices, and one of the vertices is incident to the remaining 2^k vertices. In fact, one can easily establish by induction that the bottom of the diamond D_k has degree 2^k, and the bottom together with all of its neighbors forms the desired tree.

Choose k in such a way that $2^k + 1 \geq 2^{n+1} - 1$, where $2^{n+1} - 1$ is the number of vertices in T_n.

Now, map the root of T_n to the bottom of D_k and all of other vertices of T_n to distinct vertices adjacent to the bottom. Denote the obtained map by F_n and the vertex set of T_n by $V(T_n)$. We claim that the following inequalities are true

$$\forall u, v \in V(T_n) \quad \frac{1}{n} d_{T_n}(u, v) \leq d_{D_k}(F_n(u), F_n(v)) \leq 2 d_{T_n}(u, v), \tag{2}$$

yielding $c_D(T_n) \leq 2n$.

Indeed, the right-hand side inequality follows from the fact that any distance between two distinct vertices in $K_{1,2^k}$ does not exceed 2.

To justify the left-hand side inequality consider the two cases:

(1) One of the vertices, say u, is the root of T_n. Then $d_{D_k}(F_n(u), F_n(v)) = 1$ and $d_{T_n}(u, v) \leq n$. The left-hand side inequality in this case follows.

(2) Neither u nor v is the root of T_n. Then $d_{D_k}(F_n(u), F_n(v)) = 2$ and $d_{T_n}(u, v) \leq 2n$. The left-hand side inequality follows in this case, too. □

4 Estimates from below

4.1 Diamonds of finite branching

Observe that Theorem 2.4 is a special case of Theorem 2.6. For this reason, only the lower estimate of Theorem 2.6 has to be proved.

Proof of $c_{(D,k)}(T_n) \geq c(k)\,\frac{n}{\log_2 n}$. Fix an integer k $(2 \leq k < \infty)$ for the whole proof and omit from most of our notation dependence on k, as it is clear that almost all of the introduced objects depend on k. If $\alpha_n = 2c_{(D,k)}(T_n)$, then there exists a map F_n of $V(T_n)$ into $V(D_{m(n),k})$ for some $m(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (1) with $C = \alpha_n$ and the scaling factor being an integer power of 2, that is,

$$\forall u, v \in V(T_n) \quad 2^{p(n)} d_{T_n}(u,v) \leq d_{D_{m(n),k}}(F_n(u), F_n(v)) \leq \alpha_n 2^{p(n)} d_{T_n}(u,v) \tag{3}$$

for some $p(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $p(n) < 0$, we compose the map F_n with the natural map of $D_{m(n),k}$ into $D_{m(n)-p(n),k}$. As the latter map increases all distances into $2^{-p(n)}$ times, the resulting map has scaling factor equal to 1. Therefore, one may assume without loss of generality that $p(n) \geq 0$.

Now our goal is to show that the existence of $n, r, d \in \mathbb{N}$, so that the condition $1 \leq r < n$ is satisfied simultaneously with the following three inequalities:

$$2^{d-1} > \alpha_n 2^{p(n)}(r+1), \tag{4}$$

$$(2k)^{d-p(n)} < 2^r, \tag{5}$$

$$2^d < 2^{p(n)}(n-r), \tag{6}$$

leads to a contradiction.

We introduce generations of vertices in diamonds, including the case $k = \infty$, as follows. Generations are labelled recursively from the end in the following way. *Generation number* 1 in $D_{m,k}$ is the set of vertices which appeared in the last step of the construction of $D_{m,k}$. Further, *generation number* 2 is the set of vertices which appeared in the previous step of the construction, and so on. In this way, one obtains m *generations*, while the two original vertices do not belong to any of the generations. See Figure 2 for generations in D_2. This definition leads to the following:

Observation 4.1. *Let* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $k \geq 2$.

(1) *Let* v *be a vertex of generation number* d *in* $D_{m,k}$, *where* $d \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. *Then the* 2^{d-1}*-neighborhood of* v *consists of two subdiamonds of diameter* 2^{d-1} *each, pasted together at* v.

(2) *Let* Z_d *be the set of all vertices of generation number* d *in* $D_{m,k}$. *Then the connected components of* $D_{m,k} \backslash Z_d$ *have diameters strictly less than* 2^d.

Recall that generations for vertices of T_n are defined in the standard way: the *generation* of a vertex in T_n is its distance to the root.

Let n and r be satisfying the conditions above, so $1 \leq r < n$. Consider any vertex τ_{n-r} of generation $n-r$ in T_n. For a path $\tau_0, \ldots, \tau_{n-r}$ joining the root τ_0 and τ_{n-r}, inequality (3) implies that $d_{D_{m(n),k}}(F_n(\tau_i), F_n(\tau_{i+1})) \leq \alpha_n 2^{p(n)}$ and $d_{D_{m(n),k}}(F_n(\tau_0), F_n(\tau_{n-r})) \geq 2^{p(n)}(n-r)$. Combining these inequalities with condition (6) and Observation 4.1 **(2)**, one concludes that there exists $i \in \{0, \ldots, n-r\}$ such that $d_{D_{m(n),k}}(F_n(\tau_i), v) \leq \alpha_n 2^{p(n)}$ for some v of generation d in $D_{m(n),k}$.

By inequalities (3), (4) and Observation 4.1 **(1)**, F_n maps descendants of τ_i (in T_n) of generations $i+1, \ldots, i+r$ (note that $i+r \leq n$) into the union of two subdiamonds of diameter 2^{d-1} each, pasted together at v. To obtain a contradiction with (5) we need the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *The cardinality of a $2^{p(n)}$-separated set - i.e., a set satisfying $d(u,v) \geq 2^{p(n)}$ for any $u \neq v$ - in a subdiamond of $D_{m,k}$ of diameter 2^q does not exceed $k \cdot (2k)^{q-p(n)}$ if $q \geq p(n)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that each subdiamond of $D_{m,k}$ of diameter $2^{p(n)}$ contains at most k vertices out of each $2^{p(n)}$-separated set. The number of subdiamonds of diameter $2^{p(n)}$ in a diamond of diameter 2^q is equal to the number of edges in the diamond of diameter $2^{q-p(n)}$. This number of edges is $(2k)^{q-p(n)}$, because in each step of the construction of diamonds the diameter doubles and the number of edges is multiplied by $(2k)$. □

This leads to a contradiction with (5) because, on one hand, the vertex τ_i has more than 2^r descendants in the next r generations, and the images of these descendants, by the bilipschitz condition (3), should form a $2^{p(n)}$-separated set. On the other hand, Lemma 4.2 implies that a $2^{p(n)}$-separated set in a union of two diamonds of diameters 2^{d-1} does not exceed $2 \cdot k \cdot (2k)^{d-1-p(n)} = (2k)^{d-p(n)}$.

To complete the proof of $c_{(D,k)}(T_n) \geq c(k) \frac{n}{\log_2 n}$, assume the contrary, that is, assume that $\alpha_n = o(\frac{n}{\log_2 n})$ for some subsequence of values of n. It will be shown that this implies the existence of n, r and d satisfying $1 \leq r < n$ and (4)-(6). Let us rewrite inequalities (4)-(6) as:

$$2^{d-p(n)} > 2\alpha_n(r+1), \tag{7}$$

$$(2^{(d-p(n))})^{\log_2(2k)} < 2^r, \tag{8}$$

$$2^{d-p(n)} < n-r. \tag{9}$$

Set $r = r(n) = \lceil \log_2(2k) \cdot \log_2 n \rceil$. Since k is fixed, for sufficiently large n, one has $n - r > 2$. Define $d = d(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the largest integer for which (9) holds. It has to be pointed out that with this choice of d, the inequality $2^{d-p(n)} > \frac{n}{2}$ holds when

n is sufficiently large. Since for our choice of r we have $2\alpha_n(r(n)+1) = o(n)$ for the corresponding subsequence of values of n, it is clear that, for sufficiently large n in the subsequence, the condition (7) is also satisfied. It remains to observe that with our choice of r the inequality (8) follows from

$$(2^{d-p(n)})^{\log_2(2k)} < n^{\log_2(2k)}.$$

Since by virtue of (9), $2^{d-p(n)} < n$, the last inequality is obvious. □

4.2 Diamonds of infinite branching

In this case methods based on the upper bounds for cardinalities of $2^{p(n)}$-separated sets in subdiamonds do not work since the cardinalities are infinite. Consequently, the method of [13], which gives weaker estimates, but works in the case of infinite branching, will be employed.

Proof of Theorem 2.7. To complete the proof we need to show that $c_{(D,\infty)}(T_n) \geq c(\infty)\sqrt{n}$ for some constant $c(\infty) > 0$.

If $\alpha_n = 2c_{(D,\infty)}(T_n)$, then there exists a map F_n of $V(T_n)$ into $V(D_{m(n),\infty})$ for some $m(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (1) with $C = \alpha_n$ and the scaling factor being an integer power of 2, that is,

$$\forall u, v \in V(T_n) \quad 2^{p(n)} d_{T_n}(u,v) \leq d_{D_{m(n),\infty}}(F_n(u), F_n(v)) \leq \alpha_n 2^{p(n)} d_{T_n}(u,v) \tag{10}$$

for some $p(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$. The same argument as in Theorem 2.6 shows that it may be assumed that $p(n) \geq 0$.

Assume that $n > 3$ and pick $d = d(n)$ to be the largest integer satisfying

$$2^d < 2^{p(n)} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \tag{11}$$

Consider any vertex $\tau_{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor}$ of generation $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor$ in T_n. Let $\tau_0, \ldots, \tau_{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor}$ be a path joining the root τ_0 and $\tau_{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor}$ in T_n. Inequality (10) implies

$$d_{D_{m(n),\infty}}(F_n(\tau_i), F_n(\tau_{i+1})) \leq \alpha_n 2^{p(n)}$$

and

$$d_{D_{m(n),\infty}}(F_n(\tau_0), F_n(\tau_{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor})) \geq 2^{p(n)} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

By combining these inequalities with condition (11) and Observation 4.1 **(2)**, we conclude that there exists $i \in \{0, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor\}$ such that

$$d_{D_{m(n),\infty}}(F_n(\tau_i), v) \leq \alpha_n 2^{p(n)} \tag{12}$$

for some v of generation d in $D_{m(n),\infty}$.

Inequality (10) together with (12) implies that descendants of τ_i of generation n in T_n will be mapped onto vertices which are at distance at least $(n-i)2^{p(n)} - \alpha_n 2^{p(n)}$ from v. One has:

$$(n-i)2^{p(n)} - \alpha_n 2^{p(n)} \geq \left(\frac{2}{3}\, n - \alpha_n\right) 2^{p(n)} \tag{13}$$

If $\alpha_n \geq \frac{n}{3}$, the conclusion of the theorem holds with $c(\infty) = \frac{1}{6}$. Therefore, assume that $\alpha_n \leq \frac{n}{3}$, and in this case the right-hand side of (13) is not less than

$$\frac{n}{3}\, 2^{p(n)} \overset{(11)}{>} 2^d > 2^{d-1}.$$

Therefore, each path joining τ_i with its descendant of generation n leaves the union of two subdiamonds of height 2^{d-1} mentioned in Observation 4.1 **(1)**.

Denote by x_1 and x_2 the tops/bottoms of the subdiamonds mentioned in the previous paragraph which are different from v. The statement about the paths mentioned in the previous paragraph implies that on each such path there is a vertex - the first vertex on the path outside the union of the subdiamonds - which is at distance at most $\alpha_n 2^{p(n)}$ from either x_1 or x_2. For each such path we estimate from below the subscript of the first vertex τ_r, $r > i$, which is outside the union of the subdiamonds. It can be seen by using inequality (10) that the earliest generation r in which it is possible for such path to leave the union of subdiamonds should satisfy:

$$(r-i)2^{p(n)}\alpha_n + 2^{p(n)}\alpha_n > 2^{d-1}$$

and therefore

$$(r-i) \geq \frac{2^{d-1}}{2^{p(n)}\alpha_n} - 1.$$

The choice of d - see (11) - implies that

$$2^{d+1} \geq 2^{p(n)} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor,$$

and hence

$$(r-i) \geq \frac{1}{4\alpha_n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - 1.$$

Next, consider four different descending paths starting at different descendants of τ_i of generation τ_{i+2}. If we pick any four vertices on these paths, one vertex per path, of generations $(r-i)$ or higher, their pairwise distances will be at least

$$2(r-i-1) \geq \frac{1}{2\alpha_n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - 4.$$

On the other hand, the argument above implies that we can pick such quadruple of vertices so that the F_n-image of each of the elements of the quadruple is at distance at most $2^{p(n)}\alpha_n$ to either x_1 or x_2. Therefore, at least two of these images are at distance at most $2 \cdot 2^{p(n)}\alpha_n$ from each other. Using (10) one concludes:

$$2^{p(n)}\left(\frac{1}{2\alpha_n}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-4\right)\leq 2\cdot 2^{p(n)}\alpha_n$$

It is easy to see that this inequality implies that $\alpha_n \geq c\sqrt{n}$ for some constant $c>0$. □

5 Acknowledgement

The work on this paper was inspired by a question on the order of distortion of embeddings of binary trees into diamonds, asked by Assaf Naor during the National AMS meeting in Baltimore, Maryland, 2014. The question about analogues of the main result for diamonds with high branching was asked by Thomas Schlumprecht during the Workshop in Analysis and Probability, Texas A&M University, 2016.

The last-named author gratefully acknowledges the support by National Science Foundation DMS-1201269 and by Summer Support of Research program of St. John's University during different stages of work on this paper. Part of the work on this paper was done when the last-named author was a participant of the NSF supported Workshop in Analysis and Probability, Texas A&M University, 2016.

6 References

[1] F. Baudier, R. Causey, S. J. Dilworth, D. Kutzarova, N. L. Randrianarivony, T. Schlumprecht, S. Zhang, On the geometry of the countably branching diamond graphs, *manuscript in preparation*, 2016.

[2] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **244**, Springer, New York, 2008.

[3] J. Bourgain, The metrical interpretation of superreflexivity in Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **56** (1986), no. 2, 222–230.

[4] B. Brinkman, M. Charikar, On the impossibility of dimension reduction in ℓ_1. *J. ACM* **52** (2005), no. 5, 766–788.

[5] A. Gupta, I. Newman, Y. Rabinovich, A. Sinclair, Cuts, trees and ℓ_1-embeddings of graphs, *Combinatorica*, **24** (2004) 233–269; Conference version in: *40th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 1999, pp. 399–408.

[6] W. B. Johnson, G. Schechtman, Diamond graphs and super-reflexivity, *J. Topol. Anal.*, **1** (2009), no. 2, 177–189.

[7] B. Kloeckner, Yet another short proof of the Bourgain's distortion estimate for embedding of trees into uniformly convex Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **200** (2014), no. 1, 419–422; DOI: 10.1007/s11856-014-0024-4.

[8] J. R. Lee, A. Naor, Embedding the diamond graph in L_p and dimension reduction in L_1. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **14** (2004), no. 4, 745–747.

[9] J. R. Lee, P. Raghavendra, Coarse differentiation and multi-flows in planar graphs. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **43** (2010), no. 2, 346–362.

[10] I. Newman, Y. Rabinovich, Lower bound on the distortion of embedding planar metrics into Euclidean space, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **29** (2003), no. 1, 77–81.

[11] M. I. Ostrovskii, On metric characterizations of some classes of Banach spaces, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, **64** (2011), no. 6, 775–784.

[12] M. I. Ostrovskii, *Metric Embeddings: Bilipschitz and Coarse Embeddings into Banach Spaces*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **49**. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2013.

[13] M. I. Ostrovskii, Metric characterizations of superreflexivity in terms of word hyperbolic groups and finite graphs, *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces* **2** (2014), 154–168.

[14] M. I. Ostrovskii, B. Randrianantoanina, Metric spaces admitting low-distortion embeddings into all n-dimensional Banach spaces. *Canad. J. Math.* **68** (2016), no. 4, 876–907.

[15] M. I. Ostrovskii, B. Randrianantoanina, Embeddings of diamonds of high branching into nonsuperreflexive spaces, *manuscript in preparation*, 2016.

[16] G. Pisier, *Martingales in Banach spaces*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **155**. Cambridge, Press Cambridge University Press, 2016.

[17] Y. Rabinovich, R. Raz, Lower bounds on the distortion of embedding finite metric spaces in graphs, *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, **19** (1998), no. 1, 79–94.

[18] O. Regev, Entropy-based bounds on dimension reduction in L_1. *Israel J. Math.* **195** (2013), no. 2, 825–832.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242
E-mail address: sleung1@kent.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Hunter College, CUNY, New York, NY 10065
E-mail address: sarah.nelson07@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Atilim University, 06836 Incek, Ankara, TURKEY
E-mail address: sofia.ostrovska@atilim.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, St. John's University, 8000 Utopia Parkway, Queens, NY 11439, USA
E-mail address: ostrovsm@stjohns.edu